SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, DECEMBER 24, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S090666 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent

Bau A. Mooc, Defendant and Appellant

With the exception of its holding directing the trial court to correct the Abstract of Judgment, the judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed. Because the appellate court's decision rendered it unnecessary to resolve an unrelated evidentiary issue raised by defendant in that court, the cause is transferred to that court for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Werdegar, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

Lillie, J.*

*Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division 7, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A093799/S102131 In re Steven Caswell on Habeas Corpus – February 11, 2002.

B145640/S101312 People v. Arthur Theodore Givhan – February 4, 2002.

G028613/S101722 Cameron R. Moore v. Orange County Superior Court; People, RPI – January 25, 2002.

F038735/S101983 In re Jose Alfredo Suarez on Habeas Corpus – February 7, 2002.

H022715/S101937 In re Ralph Patrick Samson on Habeas Corpus – February 5, 2002.

H022820/S101954 In re Anthony Lugo on Habeas Corpus – February 4, 2002.

S020244 People, Appellant

v.

Jesus Cianez Hernandez, Respondent

The November 16, 2001, filing of the defendant's "Motion for Resetting of Presumptive Timeliness" is hereby stricken as irregular. A petition for writ of habeas corpus is not subject to a due date under the Supreme Court Policies Regarding Cases Arising From Judgements of Death, and therefore does not require any extension of time for filing.